arXiv:1302.1325v1 [math.CV] 6 Feb 2013

MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS HAVE THE SAME INVERSE IMAGES OF MOVING HYPERPLANES WITH TRUNCATED MULTIPLICITIES

SI DUC QUANG

Abstract. In 1999, H. Fujimoto proved that there exists an integer l_0 such that if two meromorphic mappings f and g of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ have the same inverse images for $(2n+2)$ hyperplanes in general position with counting multiplicities to level l_0 then the map $f\times g$ is algebraically degenerate. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the result of H. Fujimoto to the case of meromorphic mappings and slowly moving hyperplanes. Also we improve this result by giving an explicit estimate for the number l_0.

Introduction

Let f and g be two meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $H_1,...,H_q$ be q hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position. Denote by $\nu_{(f,H_i)}$ the pull back divisor of H_i by f. In 1975, H. Fujimoto proved the following.

Theorem A (H. Fujimoto [2, Theorem II]). *Assume that* $\nu_{(f,H_i)} = \nu_{(g,H_i)}$ $(1 \le i \le q)$. *If* $q = 3n+2$ *and either* f *or* g *is linearly non-degenerate over* $\mathbf{C}$, *i.e, the image does not included in any hyperplane in* $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. *Then* $f = g$.

We note that in this theorem, the condition $\nu_{(f,H_i)} = \nu_{(g,H_i)}$ $(1 \le i \le q)$ means that f and g have the same inverse images with counting multiplicities for all hyperplanes. In 1999 H. Fujimoto [3] considered the case where these inverse images are taken with multiplicities truncated by a level l_0. He proved the following theorem, in which the number q of hyperplanes is also reduced.

Theorem B (H. Fujimoto [3, Theorem II]). *Let* $H_1,...,H_{2n+2}$ *be hyperplanes of* $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ *in general position. Then there exist an integer* l_0 *such that; for two algebraically non-degenerate meromorphic mappings* f *and* g, *if* $\min\{\nu_{(f,H_i)}, l_0\} = \min\{\nu_{(g,H_i)}, l_0\}$ $(1 \le i \le 2n+2)$ *then the mapping* $f \times g$ *into* $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times P^n(\mathbf{C})$ *is algebraically degenerate.*

Then the following questions arises naturally:

Date:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32H04, 32A22; Secondary 32A35.

Key words and phrases: Degenerate, meromorphic mapping, truncated multiplicity, hyperplane.

- *Are there any similar results to the above results of H. Fujimoto in the case where fixed hyperplanes are replaced by moving hyperplanes?*
- *Is there an explicit estimate for the integer l_0?*

The purpose of the present paper is to give an answer for these questions. We shall generalize and improve Theorem B to the case of moving hyperplanes, also give an explicit estimate for the truncation level l_0. To state our result, we first recall some following.

Let f, a be two meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with reduced representations $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$, $a = (a_0 : \cdots : a_n)$ respectively. We say that a is "small" with respect to f if $|| \ T_a(r) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \to \infty$. Put $(f, a) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{n} a_i f_i$. We also call a a slowly (with respect to f) *moving hyperplanes* or *moving target.*

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_q$ $(q \geq n + 1)$ be q moving hyperplanes of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with reduced representations $a_i = (a_{i0} : \cdots : a_{in})$ $(1 \leq j \leq q)$. We say that $a_1, \ldots, a_q$ are located in general position if $\det(a_{i_k l}) \not\equiv 0$ for any $1 \leq i_0 < i_1 < ... < i_n \leq q$. We denote by; $\mathcal{M}$ the field of all meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}^m$, $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^q$ the smallest subfield of $\mathcal{M}$ which contains $\mathbf{C}$ and all $\dfrac{a_{jk}}{a_{jl}}$ with $a_{jl} \not\equiv 0$, $\mathcal{R}_f$ the field of all small (with respect to f) meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$.

Let V be a projective subvariety of $\mathbf{P}^N(\mathbf{C})$. Take a homogeneous coordinates $(\omega_0 : \cdots : \omega_N)$ of $\mathbf{P}^N(\mathbf{C})$. Let F be a meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with a reduced representation $F = (f_0 : \cdots : F_N)$.

Definition C. *The meromorphic mapping F is said to be algebraically degenerate over a subfield $\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ if there exists a homogeneous polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{R}[\omega_0, ..., \omega_N]$ with the form:*

$$Q(z)(\omega_0, ..., \omega_N) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_d} a_I(z) \omega^I,$$

where d is an integer, $\mathcal{I}_d = \{(i_0, ..., i_N) \ ; \ 0 \leq i_j \leq d, \sum_{j=0}^N i_j = d\}$, $a_I \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\omega^I = \omega_0^{i_0} \cdots \omega_N^{i_N}$ for $I = (i_0, ..., i_N)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)} \quad Q(z)(F_0(z), ..., F_N(z)) \equiv 0 \quad \textit{on } \mathbf{C}^m, \\ &\text{(ii)} \quad \exists z_0 \in \mathbf{C}^m, Q(z_0)(\omega_0, ..., \omega_N) \not\equiv 0 \ \textit{on } V. \end{aligned}$$

Now let f and g be two meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with representations

$$f = (f_0 : \cdots f_n) \text{ and } g = (g_0 : \cdots : g_n).$$

We consider $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ as a projective subvariety of $\mathbf{P}^{(n+1)^2-1}(\mathbf{C})$ by Segre embedding. Then the map $f \times g$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ is algebraically degenerate over a subfield

$\mathcal{R}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ if there exists a nontrivial polynomial

$$Q(z)(\omega_0, ..., \omega_n, \omega'_0, ..., \omega'_n) = \sum_{\substack{I=(i_0,...,i_n)\in \mathbf{Z}_+^{n+1}\\ i_0+\cdots+i_n=d}} \sum_{\substack{J=(j_0,...,j_n)\in \mathbf{Z}_+^{n+1}\\ j_0+\cdots+j_n=d'}} a_{IJ}(z)\omega^I\omega'^J,$$

where d, d' are positive integers, $a_{IJ} \in \mathcal{R}$, such that

$$Q(z)(f_0(z), ..., f_n(z), g_0(z), ..., g_n(z)) \equiv 0.$$

We now generalize and improve Theorem B to the following.

Main Theorem. *Let f and g be two meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $a_1, ..., a_{2n+2}$ be slowly (with respect to f) moving hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position. Let l_0 be a positive integer. Assume that f and g are linearly non-degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$ and*

$$\min\{\nu_{(f,H_i)}, l_0\} = \min\{\nu_{(g,H_i)}, l_0\} \ (1 \le i \le 2n+2).$$

If $l_0 > 2n^3q^2(q-1)(q-2)$, where $q = \binom{2n+2}{n+2}$, then the map $f \times g$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ is algebraically degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$.

N.B. Concerning to finiteness or degeneracy problems of meromorphic mappings with moving targets, there are many results given by M. Ru [6], Z. H. Tu [10], D. D. Thai - S. D. Quang [8], G. Dethloff - T. V. Tan [1] and others. However in all their results, they need an aditional assumption that f and g are agree on inverse images of all targets. This is a strong condition and it is very hard to examine.

Acknowledgements. The research of the author was supported in part by a NAFOSTED grant of Vietnam.

1. Basic notions and auxiliary results from Nevanlinna theory

(a). We set $||z|| = \big(|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_m|^2\big)^{1/2}$ for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m$ and define

$$B(r) := \{z \in \mathbf{C}^m : ||z|| < r\}, \quad S(r) := \{z \in \mathbf{C}^m : ||z|| = r\} \ (0 < r < \infty).$$

Define

$$v_{m-1}(z) := \big(dd^c||z||^2\big)^{m-1} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma_m(z) := d^c\log||z||^2 \wedge \big(dd^c\log||z||^2\big)^{m-1}\text{on} \quad \mathbf{C}^m \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let F be a nonzero meromorphic function on a domain Ω in $\mathbf{C}^m$. For a set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m)$ of nonnegative integers, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_m$ and $\mathcal{D}^\alpha F = \dfrac{\partial^{|\alpha|}F}{\partial^{\alpha_1}z_1...\partial^{\alpha_m}z_m}$. We denote by ν^0_f (resp. ν^∞_f) the zero divisor (resp. pole divisor) of the function f.

For a divisor ν on $\mathbf{C}^m$, which is regarded as a function on $\mathbf{C}^m$ with values in $\mathbf{Z}$, and for positive integers k, M or $M = \infty$, we define the counting function of ν by

$$\nu^{[M]}(z) = \min \{M, \nu(z)\},$$
$$\nu^{[M]}_{>k}(z) = \begin{cases} \nu^{[M]}(z) & \text{if } \nu(z) > k, \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu(z) \le k, \end{cases}$$
$$n(t) = \begin{cases} \int\limits_{|\nu|\,\cap B(t)} \nu(z) v_{m-1} & \text{if } m \ge 2, \\ \sum\limits_{|z|\le t} \nu(z) & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we define $n^{[M]}(t),\ n^{[M]}_{>k}(t)$.

Define

$$N(r,\nu) = \int\limits_1^r \frac{n(t)}{t^{2n-1}} dt \quad (1 < r < \infty).$$

Similarly, we define $N(r,\nu^{[M]}),\ N(r,\nu^{[M]}_{>k})$ and denote them by $N^{[M]}(r,\nu),\ N^{[M]}_{>k}(r,\nu)$ respectively.

Let $\varphi : \mathbf{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a meromorphic function. Define

$$N_\varphi(r) = N(r,\nu^0_\varphi),\ N^{[M]}_\varphi(r) = N^{[M]}(r,\nu^0_\varphi),\ N^{[M]}_{\varphi,>k}(r) = N^{[M]}_{>k}(r,\nu^0_\varphi).$$

For brevity we will omit the character $^{[M]}$ if $M = \infty$.

(b). Let $f : \mathbf{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping. For arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinates $(\omega_0 : \cdots : \omega_n)$ on $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, we take a reduced representation $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$, which means that each f_i is a holomorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$ and $f(z) = \big(f_0(z) : \cdots : f_n(z)\big)$ outside the analytic set $\{f_0 = \cdots = f_n = 0\}$ of codimension ≥ 2. Set $\|f\| = \big(|f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2\big)^{1/2}$.

The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T(r,f) = \int\limits_{S(r)} \log\|f\|\sigma_n - \int\limits_{S(1)} \log\|f\|\sigma_n.$$

Let a be a meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with reduced representation $a = (a_0 : \cdots : a_n)$. We define

$$m_{f,a}(r) = \int\limits_{S(r)} \log\frac{||f||\cdot||a||}{|(f,a)|}\sigma_m - \int\limits_{S(1)} \log\frac{||f||\cdot||a||}{|(f,a)|}\sigma_m,$$

where $\|a\| = \big(|a_0|^2 + \cdots + |a_n|^2\big)^{1/2}$.

If $f, a : \mathbf{C}^m \to \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ are meromorphic mappings such that $(f,a) \not\equiv 0$, then the first main theorem for moving targets in value distribution theory (see [5]) states

$$T(r,f) + T(r,a) = m_{f,a}(r) + N_{(f,a)}(r).$$

Let φ be a nonzero meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$, which are occasionally regarded as a meromorphic map into $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$. The proximity function of φ is defined by

$$m(r,\varphi) := \int_{S(r)} \log\max\,(|\varphi|, 1)\sigma_m.$$

(c). As usual, by the notation "$||\ P$" we mean the assertion P holds for all $r \in [0,\infty)$ excluding a Borel subset E of the interval $[0,\infty)$ with $\int_E dr < \infty$.

The following plays essential roles in Nevanlinna theory.

Theorem 1.1 (see [7, Theorem 2.1],[9, Theorem 2]). *Let $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$ be a reduced representation of meromorphic mapping f of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. Assume that f_{n+1} is a holomorphic such that $f_0 + \cdots f_n + f_{n+1} = 0$. If $\sum_{i\in I} f_i \neq 0$ for all $I \subsetneq \{0, ..., n+1\}$ then*

$$||\ T(r,f) \le \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} N^{[n]}_{f_i}(r) + o(T(r,f)).$$

Theorem 1.2 (see [5], [3, Theorem 5.5]). *Let f be a nonzero meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$. Then*

$$\Bigg|\Bigg|\quad m\biggl(r, \frac{\mathcal{D}^\alpha(f)}{f}\biggr) = O(\log^+ T(r,f))\ (\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^m_+).$$

Theorem 1.3 (see [5, Theorem 5.2.29]). *Let f be a nonzero meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^m$. with a reduced representation $f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n)$. Suppose that $f_k \not\equiv 0$. Then*

$$T(r, \frac{f_j}{f_k}) \le T(r,f) \le \sum_{j=0}^{n} T(r, \frac{f_j}{f_j}) + O[1].$$

(d). Let $h_1, h_2, ..., h_p$ be finitely many nonzero meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}^m$. By a rational function in logarithmic derivatives of h_j's we mean a nonzero meromorphic function φ on $\mathbf{C}^m$ which is represented as

$$\varphi = \frac{P(\cdots, \frac{\mathcal{D}^\alpha h_j}{h_j}, \cdots)}{Q(\cdots, \frac{\mathcal{D}^\alpha h_j}{h_j}, \cdots)}$$

with polynomials $P(\cdots, X^\alpha, \cdots)$ and $Q(\cdots, X^\alpha, \cdots)$

Proposition 1.4 (see [3, Proposition 3.4]). *Let $h_1, h_2, ..., h_p$ $(p \ge 2)$ be nonzero meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}^m$. Assume that*

$$h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_p = 0$$

Then, the set $\{1, ..., p\}$ of indices has a partition

$$\{1, ..., p\} = J_1 \cup J_2 \cup \cdots \cup J_k, \sharp J_\alpha \ge 2\ \forall\ \alpha, J_\alpha \cap J_\beta = \emptyset\ \textit{for}\ \alpha \neq \beta$$

such that, for each α,

$$\text{(i)} \quad \sum_{i\in J_\alpha} h_i = 0,$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad \frac{h'_i}{h_i} \ (i, i' \in J_\alpha) \textit{ are rational functions in logarithmic derivatives of } h'_js$$

2. Proof of Main Theorem

In order to prove the main theorem, we need the following algebraic propositions.

Let $H_1, ..., H_{2n+1}$ be $(2n+1)$ hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position given by

$$H_i : \quad x_{i0}\omega_0 + x_{i1}\omega_1 + \cdots + x_{in}\omega_n = 0 \ (1 \le i \le 2n+1).$$

We consider the rational map $\Phi : \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ as follows:

For $v = (v_0 : v_1 \cdots : v_n)$, $w = (w_0 : w_1 : \cdots : w_n) \in \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, we define the value $\Phi(v, w) = (u_0 : \cdots : u_{2n+1}) \in \mathbf{P}^{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ by

$$u_i = \frac{x_{i0}v_0 + x_{i1}v_1 + \cdots + x_{in}v_n}{x_{i0}w_0 + x_{i1}w_1 + \cdots + x_{in}w_n}.$$

Proposition 2.1 (see [3, Proposition 5.9]). *The map* Φ *is a birational map of* $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ *onto* $\mathbf{P}^{2n}(\mathbf{C})$.

Now let $b_1, ..., b_{2n+1}$ be $(2n+1)$ moving hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position with reduced representations

$$b_i = (b_{i0} : b_{i1} : \cdots : b_{in}) \ (1 \le i \le 2n+1).$$

Let f and g be two meromorphic mappings of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ with reduced representations

$$f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n) \ \text{ and } \ g = (g_0 : \cdots : g_n).$$

Define $h_i = \frac{(f,b_i)}{(g,b_i)}$ $(1 \le i \le 2n+1)$ and $h_I = \prod_{i\in I} h_i$ for each subset I of $\{1, ..., 2n+1\}$. Set $\mathcal{I} = \{I = (i_1, ..., i_n) \ ; \ 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_n \le 2n+1\}$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a subfield of $\mathcal{M}$ which contains $\mathcal{R}\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+1}$. We have the following proposition

Proposition 2.2. *If there exist functions* $A_I \in \mathcal{R}$ $(I \in \mathcal{I})$, *not all zero, such that*

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}} A_I h_I \equiv 0$$

then the map $f \times g$ *into* $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ *is algebraically degenerate over* $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. By changing the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, we ma assume that $b_{i0} \not\equiv 0$ $(1 \le i \le 2n+1)$. Since $b_1, ..., b_{2n+1}$ are in general position, then for $1 \le i_0 < \cdots i_n \le 2n+1$ we have $\det(b_{i_jk})_{0\le j,k\le n} \not\equiv 0$. Therefor the set

$$S = \Big(\bigcap_{I\in\mathcal{I}}\{z \in \mathbf{C}^m \ ; \ A_I(z) = 0\}\Big) \cup \bigcup_{1\le i_0<\cdots i_n\le 2n+1} \{z \in \mathbf{C}^m \ ; \ \det(b_{i_jk}(z))_{0\le j,k\le n} = 0\}$$

is a proper analytic subset of $\mathbf{C}^m$. Take $z_0 \notin S$ and set $x_{ij} = b_{ij}(z_0)$.

For $v = (v_0 : v_1 \cdots : v_n)$, $w = (w_0 : w_1 : \cdots : w_n) \in \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, we define the map $\Phi(v, w) = (u_0 : \cdots : u_{2n+1}) \in \mathbf{P}^{2n}(\mathbf{C})$ as above. By Proposition 2.1, Φ is birational function. This implies that the functions

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}} A_I(z_0) \prod_{i\in I} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{ij}(z_0)v_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{ij}(z_0)w_j}$$

is a nontrivial rational function. It follows that

$$Q(z)(v_0, ..., v_n, w_0, ..., w_n) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} b_{i0}} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}} A_I(z) \left(\prod_{i\in I} \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{ij}(z)v_j \right) \times \left(\prod_{i\in I^c} \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{ij}(z)w_j \right),$$

where $I^c = \{1, ..., 2n+1\} \setminus I$, is a nontrivial polynomial with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}$. Since the assumption of the proposition, it is clear that

$$Q(z)(f_0(z), ..., f_n(z), g_0(z), ..., g_n(z)) \equiv 0.$$

Hence $f \times g$ is algebraically degenerate over $\mathcal{R}$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let f be a meromorphic mapping of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ and let $b_1, ..., b_{n+1}$ be moving hyperplanes of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ in general position with reduced representations:*

$$f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n), \ b_i = (b_{i0} : \cdots : b_{in}) \ (1 \le i \le n+1).$$

Then for each regular point z_0 of the analytic subset $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1}\{z \ ; \ (f, b_i)(z) = 0\}$ with $z_0 \notin I(f)$, we have

$$\min_{1\le i\le n+1} \nu^0_{(f,b_i)}(z_0) \le \nu^0_{\det\Phi}(z_0),$$

where $I(f)$ denotes the indeterminacy set of f and Φ is the matrix $(b_{ij}; 1 \le i \le n+1, 0 \le j \le n)$.

Proof. Since $z_0 \notin I(f)$, we may assume that $f_0(z_0) \ne 0$. We consider the following system of equations

$$b_{i0}f_0 + \cdots + b_{in}f_n = (f, b_i) \ (1 \le i \le n+1).$$

Solving these equations, we obtain

$$f_0 = \frac{\det \Phi'}{\det \Phi},$$

where Φ' is a matrix obtained from Φ by replacing the first column of Φ by $\begin{pmatrix} (f, b_1) \\ \vdots \\ (f, b_n) \end{pmatrix}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\nu^0_{\det\Phi}(z_0) = \nu^0_{\det\Phi'}(z_0) \ge \min_{1\le i\le n+1} \nu^0_{(f,b_i)}(z_0).$$

The proposition is proved. □

Proof of Main Theorem. Assume that f, g, a_i have reduced representations

$$f = (f_0 : \cdots : f_n),\ g = (g_0 : \cdots : g_n),\ a_i = (a_{i0} : \cdots : a_{in}).$$

By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ \frac{2n+2}{n+2} T(r,f) &\le \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{[n]}_{(f,a_i)}(r) + o(T(r,f)) \\ &\le n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} N^{[1]}_{(g,a_i)}(r) + o(T(r,f)) \\ &\le n(2n+2)(T(r,g)) + o(T(r,f)). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $\|\ T(r,f) = O(T(r,g))$. Similarly we also have $\|\ T(r,g) = O(T(r,f))$.

We suppose contrarily that the map $f \times g$ is algebraically non-degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$. Define $h_i = \frac{(f,a_i)}{(g,a_i)}$ $(1 \le i \le 2n+2)$. Then $\frac{h_i}{h_j} = \frac{(f,a_i)\cdot(g,a_j)}{(g,a_i)\cdot(f,a_j)}$ does not depend on the choice of representations of f and g . Since $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{ik} f_k - h_i \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{ik} g_k = 0$ $(1 \le i \le 2n+2)$, it implies that

$$\Phi := \det\ (a_{i0}, ..., a_{in}, a_{i0}h_i, ..., a_{in}h_i; 1 \le i \le 2n+2) \equiv 0. \tag{2.1}$$

For each subset $I \subset \{1, 2, ..., 2n+2\}$, put $h_I = \prod_{i \in I} h_i$. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{I = (i_1, ..., i_{n+1})\ ;\ 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{n+1} \le 2n+2\}.$$

For each $I = (i_1, ..., i_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} A_I = (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} + i_1 + ... + i_{n+1}} &\times \det(a_{i_r l}; 1 \le r \le n+1, 0 \le l \le n) \\ &\times \det(a_{j_s l}; 1 \le s \le n+1, 0 \le l \le n), \end{aligned}$$

where $J = (j_1, ..., j_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $I \cup J = \{1, 2, ..., 2n+2\}$.

We define some following:

- $\mathcal{R}$: the field of rational functions in logarithmic derivatives of functions in $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$,
- G: the group of all nonzero functions φ so that there exists an positive integer m, φ^m is a rational function in logarithmic derivatives of ${h_i}'$s with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}$,
- $\mathcal{H}$: the subgroup of the group $\mathcal{M}/G$ generated by elements $[h_1], ..., [h_{2n+2}]$.

Hence $\mathcal{H}$ is a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group. We call $(x_1, ..., x_p)$ a basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Then for each $i \in \{1, ..., 2n+2\}$, we have

$$[h_i] = x_1^{t_{i1}} \cdots x_p^{t_{ip}}.$$

Put $t_i = (t_{i1}, ..., t_{ip}) \in \mathbf{Z}^p$ and denote by " $\leqslant$ " the lexicographical order on $\mathbf{Z}^p$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant t_{2n+1}.$$

Now the equality (2.1) implies that

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}} A_I h_I = 0.$$

Applying Proposition 1.4 to meromorphic mappings $A_I h_I$ $(I \in \mathcal{I})$, then we have a partition $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{I}_k$ with $\mathcal{I}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{I}_\beta = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ such that for each α,

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha} A_I h_I \equiv 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{A_{I'} h_{I'}}{A_I h_I}\ (I, I' \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha) \text{ are rational functions in logarithmic derivatives of } A_J h_J{}'\text{s.} \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, we ma assume that I_α is minimal, i.e., there is no proper subset $J_\alpha \subsetneq I_\alpha$ with $\sum_{I\in\mathcal{J}_\alpha} A_I h_I \equiv 0$.

We distinguish the following two cases:

Case 1. Assume that there exists an index i_0 such that $t_{i_0} < t_{i_0+1}$. We may assume that $i_0 \leq n+1$ (otherwise we consider the relation " $\geqslant$ " and change indices of $\{h_1, ..., h_{2n+2}\}$).

Assume that $I = (1, 2, ..., n+1) \in \mathcal{I}_1$. By the assertion (2.3), for each $J = (j_1, ..., j_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{I}_1$ $(1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{n+1} \leq 2n+2)$, we have $[h_I] = [h_J]$. This implies that

$$t_1 + \cdots + t_{n+1} = t_{j_1} + \cdots + t_{j_{n+1}}.$$

This yields that $t_{j_i} = t_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n+1)$.

Suppose that $j_{i_0} > i_0$, then $t_{i_0} < t_{i_0+1} \leqslant t_{j_{i_0}}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $j_{i_0} = i_0$, and hence $j_1 = 1, ..., j_{i_0-1} = i_0 - 1$. We conclude that $J = (1, ..., i_0, j_{i_0+1}, ..., j_{n+1})$ and $i_0 \leq n+1$ for each $J \in \mathcal{I}_1$.

By (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_1} A_I h_I = h_{i_0} \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_1} A_I h_{I\setminus\{i_0\}} \equiv 0.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_1} A_I h_{I\setminus\{i_0\}} \equiv 0.$$

Then Proposition 2.2 shows that $f \times g$ is algebraically degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$. It contradicts to the supposition.

Case 2. Assume that $t_1 = \cdots t_{2n+2}$. It follows that $\frac{h_I}{h_J} \in G$ for any $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$. Then we easily see that $\frac{h_i}{h_j} \in G$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n+2$. Hence, there exists a positive integer m_{ij} such that $\left(\frac{h_i}{h_j}\right)^{m_{ij}}$ is a rational funtion in logarithmic derivatives of $h_s{}'$s with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}$. Therefore, by lemma on logarithmic derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \quad m\big(r, \frac{h_i}{h_j}\big) &= \frac{1}{m_{ij}} m\big(r, \Big(\frac{h_i}{h_j}\Big)^{m_{ij}}\big) + O(1) \\ &= O\big(\max m\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(\frac{a_{sl}}{a_{sk}})}{\frac{a_{sl}}{a_{sk}}}\right)\big) + O\big(\max m\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(h_s)}{h_s}\right)\big) + O(1) \\ &= O\big(\max m\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(f, b_s)}{(f, b_s)}\right)\big) + O\big(\max m\left(r, \frac{\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}(g, b_s)}{(g, b_s)}\right)\big) + o(T(r, f)) \\ &= o(T(r, f)) + o(T(r, g)) = o(T(r, f)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Claim 2.4. *For each* $i_0 \in \{1, ..., 2n+2\}$, *we have*

$$|| \ N_{h_{i_0}}(r) \le \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{2(l_0+1)} T(r, g) + o(T(r, g))$$

$$\text{and } || \ N_{\frac{1}{h_{i_0}}}(r) \le \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{2(l_0+1)} T(r, g) + o(T(r, g)).$$

Indeed, fix an index α. If $\sharp I_\alpha = 2$, it is clear that we have a nontrivial algebraic relation (over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$) among $f_0, ..., f_n, g_0, ..., g_n$. It follows that $f \times g$ is algebraically degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$. this is a contradiction. Then $\sharp I_\alpha > 2$. Assume that $\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \{I_0, ..., I_{t+1}\}, \ t \ge 1$ and put $J = I_0 \cup \cdots \cup I_{t+1}$. We consider the meromorphic mapping F_α of $\mathbf{C}^m$ into $\mathbf{P}^t(\mathbf{C})$ with the reduced representation

$$F_\alpha = (dA_{I_0} h_{I_0} : \cdots : dA_{I_t} h_{I_t}),$$

where d is a meromorphic function. Then we see that each zero point of $dA_{I_i} h_{I_i}$ $(0 \le i \le t+1)$ must be either zero or pole of some $A_{I_j} h_{I_j}$ $(0 \le j \le t)$. Then by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} || \ T(r, F_\alpha) &\le \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} N^{[t]}_{dA_{I_i} h_{I_i}}(r) + o(T(r, F_\alpha)) \le \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (N^{[t]}_{A_{I_i} h_{I_i}}(r) + N^{[t]}_{\frac{1}{A_{I_i} h_{I_i}}}(r)) + o(T(r, F_\alpha)) \\ &\le \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} (N^{[t]}_{h_{I_i}}(r) + N^{[t]}_{\frac{1}{h_{I_i}}}(r)) + o(T(r, g)) \le t \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} (N^{[1]}_{h_{I_i}}(r) + N^{[1]}_{\frac{1}{h_{I_i}}}(r)) + o(T(r, g)) \\ &\le t(t+2) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} (N^{[1]}_{h_i}(r) + N^{[1]}_{\frac{1}{h_i}}(r)) + o(T(r, g)) \\ &\le t(t+2) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} N^{[1]}_{(g, a_i), > l_0}(r) + o(T(r, g)) \le \frac{t(t+2)}{l_0+1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} N_{(g, a_i), > l_0}(r) + o(T(r, g)) \\ &\le \frac{t(t+2)(2n+2)}{l_0+1} T(r, g) + o(T(r, g)) \le \frac{q(q+2)(2n+2)}{l_0+1} T(r, g) + o(T(r, g)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $q = \binom{2n+2}{n+1}$.

Take a regular point z_0 of the analytic subset

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n+2}\{z\ ;\ (f,a_i)=0\} \text{ with } z_0\not\in I(f)\cup I(g).$$

We may assume that $\nu^0_{h_1}(z_0)\ge\nu^0_{h_2}(z_0)\ge\cdots\ge\nu^0_{h_{2n+2}}(z_0)$. Set $I=(1,...,n+1)$. Then $I\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ with an index α, $1\le\alpha\le k$.

It also is easy to see that if $1\in I'\ \forall I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha$, then

$$\sum_{I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}A_{I'}h_{I'\setminus\{1\}}\equiv 0.$$

Therefore, Proposition 2.2 shows that $f\times g$ is algebraically degenerate (over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$). This is a contradiction. Hence, there exists $I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ such that $1\not\in I'$. Assume that $I'=(j_1,...,j_{n+1})$ with $1<j_1<\cdots<j_{n+1}$. By Proposition 2.3, it yields that $\nu^0_{j_{n+1}}(z_0)\le\nu^0_{det(a_{jl};j\in I',0\le l\le n)}(z_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^0_{h_{i_0}}(z_0)\le\nu^0_{h_1}(z_0)&\le\nu^0_{\frac{A_Ih_I}{A_{I'}h_{I'}}}(z_0)\\&+\nu^0_{\frac{A_{I'}}{A_i}}(z_0)+\nu^0_{j_{n+1}}(z_0)\\&\le\nu^0_{\frac{A_Ih_I}{A_{I'}h_{I'}}}(z_0)+\nu^0_{\frac{A_{I'}}{A_I}}(z_0)+\nu^0_{\det(a_{jl};j\in I',0\le l\le n)}(z_0).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^0_{h_{i_0}}(z_0)\le&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\sum_{I,I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}(\nu^0_{\frac{A_Ih_I}{A_{I'}h_{I'}}}(z_0)+\nu^0_{\frac{A_{I'}}{A_I}}(z_0))\\&+\sum_{1\le j_1<\cdots<j_{n+1}\le 2n+2}\nu^0_{\det(a_{j_il};1\le i\le n+1,0\le l\le n)}(z_0).\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality holds for all z_0 outside an analytic subset of codimension at least two. Integrating both sides of this inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \ N_{h_{i_0}}(r) &\le \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\sum_{I,I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}(N_{\frac{A_Ih_I}{A_{I'}h_{I'}}}(r)+N_{\frac{A_{I'}}{A_I}}(r))+o(T(r,g))\\
&\le \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\sum_{I,I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}T(r,\frac{A_Ih_I}{A_{I'}h_{I'}})+o(T(r,g))\\
&\le \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\sum_{I,I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}T(r,F_\alpha)+o(T(r,g))\\
&\le \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}\sum_{I,I'\in\mathcal{I}_\alpha}\frac{q(q+2)(2n+2)}{l_0+1}T(r,g)+o(T(r,g)) \quad \text{by (2.5)},\\
&\le \sum_{I,I'\in\mathcal{I}}\frac{q(q+2)(2n+2)}{l_0+1}T(r,g)+o(T(r,g))\\
&= \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{2(l_0+1)}T(r,g)+o(T(r,g)).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\| \ N_{\frac{1}{h_{i_0}}}(r)\le \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{2(l_0+1)}T(r,g)+o(T(r,g)).$$

We complete the proof of Claim 2.4

We now continue the proof of Main Theorem. By changing the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ if necessary, we may assume that $a_{i0}\not\equiv 0$ for all $1\le i\le 2n+2$. Set

$$\tilde a_{ij}=\frac{a_{ij}}{a_{i0}},\ \tilde a_i=(\tilde a_{i0},....,\tilde a_{in}),\ (f,\tilde a_i)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\tilde a_{ij}f_j \text{ and } (g,\tilde a_i)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\tilde a_{ij}g_j.$$

Then there exist functions $b_{ij}\in\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$ $(n+2\le i\le 2n+2, 1\le j\le n+1)$ such that

$$\tilde a_i=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}b_{ij}\tilde a_j.$$

By the identity (2.1), we have

$$\det\ (\tilde a_{i0},...,\tilde a_{in},\tilde a_{i0}h_i,...,\tilde a_{in}h_i;1\le i\le 2n+2)\equiv 0.$$

It easily implies that

$$\det\ (\tilde a_{i0}h_i-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}b_{ij}\tilde a_{j0}h_j,...,\tilde a_{in}h_i-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}b_{ij}\tilde a_{jn}h_j;n+2\le i\le 2n+2,1\le j\le n+1)\equiv 0.$$

Therefore, the matrix

$$\Psi=\big(\tilde a_{i0}h_i-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}b_{ij}\tilde a_{j0}h_j,...,\tilde a_{in}h_i-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}b_{ij}\tilde a_{jn}h_j;n+2\le i\le 2n+2\big)$$

has the rank at most n.

Suppose that $\operatorname{rank}\Psi < n$. Then, the determinant of the square submatrix

$$\big(\tilde a_{i1}h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{j0}h_j, ..., \tilde a_{in}h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{jn}h_j; n+2 \le i \le 2n+1\big)$$

vanishes identically. By Proposition 2.2, it follows that $f \times g$ is algebraically degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$. This contradicts to the supposition. Hence $\operatorname{rank}\Psi = n$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the determinant of the square submatrix

$$\big(\tilde a_{i1}h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{j0}h_j, ..., \tilde a_{in}h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{jn}h_j; n+2 \le i \le 2n+1\big)$$

of Ψ does not vanish identically. On the other hand, we have

$$(\tilde a_{i0}h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{j0}h_j)g_0 + \cdots + (\tilde a_{in}h_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{jn}h_j)g_n = 0 \ (n+2 \le i \le 2n+1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde a_{i0}\frac{h_i}{h_1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{j0}\frac{h_j}{h_1})\frac{g_0}{g_n} + \cdots + (\tilde a_{in}\frac{h_i}{h_1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{j(n-1)}\frac{h_j}{h_1})\frac{g_{n-1}}{g_n} \\ = -\tilde a_{in}\frac{h_i}{h_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij}\tilde a_{jn}\frac{h_j}{h_1} \ (n+2 \le i \le 2n+1).\end{aligned}$$

We regard the above identities as a system of n equations in unkown variables $\frac{g_0}{g_n}, ..., \frac{g_{n-1}}{g_n}$ and solve these to obtain that $\frac{g_i}{g_n}$ $(0 \le i \le n-1)$ has the form

$$\frac{g_i}{g_n} = \frac{P_i}{Q_i},$$

where P_i and Q_i are homogeneous polynomials in $\frac{h_j}{h_1}$ $(1 \le j \le 2n+1)$ of degree n with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{2n+2}$. Then by Theorem 1.2 we have

$$T(r,g) \le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(r,\frac{g_i}{g_n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(r,\frac{P_i}{Q_i}) \le n^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} T(r,\frac{h_j}{h_1}) + o(T(r,g)). \tag{2.6}$$

We note that $T(r,\frac{h_1}{h_1}) = 0$. By the equality (2.4) and Claim 2.4, for each $j \in \{2, ..., 2n+1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|| \ T(r,\frac{h_j}{h_1}) &= m(r,\frac{h_j}{h_1}) + N(r,\nu^{\infty}_{\frac{h_j}{h_1}}) + O(1) \le N_{h_1}(r) + N_{\frac{1}{h_j}}(r) + O(1) \\ &\le \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{(l_0+1)} T(r,g) + o(T(r,g)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$T(r,g) \leq 2n^3 \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{(l_0+1)} T(r,g) + o(T(r,g)).$$

Letting $r \longrightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$1 \leq 2n^3 \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-2)}{(l_0+1)} \Leftrightarrow l_0 + 1 \leq 2n^3 q^2(q-1)(q-2).$$

This is a contradiction.

Then from Case 1 and Case 2, we see that the supposition is impossible. Hence, $f \times g$ is algebraically degenerate over $\mathcal{R}\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{2n+2}$. The theorem is proved. □

References

[1] G. Dethloff and T. V. Tan, *Uniqueness problem for meromorphic mappings with truncated multiplicities and moving targets*, Nagoya J. Math. **181** (2006), 75-101.

[2] H. Fujimoto, *The uniqueness problem of meromorphic maps into the complex projective space*, nagoya Math. J. **58** (1975), 1-23.

[3] H. Fujimoto, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities in value distribution theory, II*, nagoya Math. J. **155** (1999), 161-188.

[4] P. H. Ha and S. D. Quang and D. D. Thai, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities of meromorphic mappings in several complex variables sharing small identical sets for moving target*, Intern. J. Math. **21** (2010), 1095-1120.

[5] J. Noguchi and T. Ochiai, *Introduction to Geometric Function Theory in Several Complex Variables*, Trans. Math. Monogr. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1990.

[6] M. Ru, *A uniqueness theorem with moving targets without counting multiplicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 2701-2707.

[7] M. Ru and J. Wang, *Truncated second main theorem with moving targets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 557-571.

[8] D. D. Thai and S. D. Quang, *Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities of meromorphic mappings in several complex variables for moving target*, Intern. J. Math. **16** (2005), 903-939.

[9] D. D. Thai and S. D. Quang, *Second main theorem with truncated counting function in several complex variables for moving targets*, Forum Math. **20** (2008), 163-179.

[10] Z-H. Tu, *Uniqueness problem of meromorphic mappings in several complex variables for moving targets*, Tohoku Math. J. **54** (2002), 567-579.

Department of Mathematics
Hanoi University of Education
136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi
Vietnam
ducquang.s@gmail.com